European Congress
of
Work and Organizational Psychology

May 21 - 24, 2025 / Prague, Czech Republic

CALL FOR REVIEWERS

The Call for EAWOP 2025 Reviewer is here!

Would you know more about European and international IO and Work Psychology research?
Become a reviewer for EAWOP 2025!

Reviewers play a significant role in high-quality conferences. We would appreciate your support as a reviewer to represent our principles in evaluating the papers. The advice and guidance from reviewers will be invaluable assets to EAWOP 2025 and its authors.

The EAWOP 2025 Scientific Committee invites you to become a part of the scientific programme development and serve as EAWOP 2025 reviewer.

[Submit your application HERE](#)

Reviewer's Benefits

What do you get for evaluating submitted abstracts for EAWOP 2025?
- Be an abstract reviewer for the international congress
- Reviewing papers in your research field to showcase your expertise
- Get inspiration from existing international research
- Keep up with the latest research
- Be a part of the world community of psychologists
- Listed as EAWOP 2025 reviewer on the Congress website
- EAWOP 2025 reviewer confirmation/certificate
- Admission to the Reviewers' Party during the EAWOP 2025 Congress

Reviewer Requirements and Expectations

- Have a PhD degree and consider yourself an expert in your field
- Possess a good command of the English language
- Agree to review up to 30 abstracts in a timely manner (typically, 2 weeks) and to provide authors with scholarly feedback on their abstract

- Please note: Submissions may not be distributed or disclosed in any case
- Reviewers may be released after three refusals to review or late reviews

If you are interested in the role, please sign up as a reviewer and wait for the EAWOP 2025 Scientific Committee decision. If you have any further questions, please contact us at secretariat@eawop2025.com

Important dates

June 15th - Opening for reviewer' applications
September 15th – Closing of the reviewer's applications
July 2024 – January 2025 – evaluating the abstracts (rolling review)